Supply Chain Localization and Risk Management of Chinese Enterprises under the "Make in India" Policy: A Case Study of Xiaomi

Yiqiang Ruan
Business college, Kaplan UCD, logistics and supply chain management, 228095, Singapore
ruanyiqiang25@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Since 2014, the Indian government has vigorously attracted foreign investment in manufacturing through industrial policies such as "Make in India," with the consumer electronics sector, particularly smartphones, emerging as one of the fastest-growing industries. As one of the earliest and most successful entrants into the Indian market, Chinese consumer electronics brands have achieved rapid growth and local production. Leading companies have captured significant market share by establishing local assembly through contract manufacturers, increasing component procurement within India, and expanding omnichannel retail presence. However, fluctuations in Sino-Indian relations, increasingly stringent regulatory policies, and shifts in the local socio-political environment have exposed these companies to multifaceted risks, including asset freezes, tax audits, and nationalist sentiment, even as they benefit from initial policy incentives. This paper employs analytical frameworks such as the PEST model, SWOT analysis, and Porter's Five Forces to systematically examine the macro-environment, internal capabilities, and industry competition for consumer electronics companies operating in India. It outlines the typical localization pathway for supply chains and identifies the primary risks encountered. Based on this analysis, the study proposes strategic countermeasures and recommendations for consumer electronics firms to deepen localization and enhance risk management in the Indian market. The research concludes that while companies have gained market advantage through local manufacturing and competitive pricing, sustainable success requires further optimization in areas such as compliance governance, local talent development, and the implementation of diversified "China + 1" supply chain strategies.

Keywords: Make in India; Xiaomi; Localized supply chain; Risk management; Indian market

1. THE CURRENT STATE OF XIAOMI IN THE INDIAN MARKET

Xiaomi officially entered the Indian market in 2014 and rapidly enhanced its brand awareness through online flash sales and "high cost performance" products. Since then, Xiaomi has responded positively to the "Make in India" policy by collaborating with contract manufacturers such as Foxconn to set up factories in southern India, enabling local assembly production of its main models and significantly reducing import tariffs and logistics costs. As sales rose, Xiaomi gradually expanded its production capacity in India, set up production bases and warehouse centers in several states, and promoted local sourcing of accessories such as chargers and batteries, deepening the degree of localization of its supply chain [1].

In terms of market performance, Xiaomi once held the top position in the Indian smartphone market share for several consecutive years from 2017 to 2019, thanks to its price advantage and channel penetration. Its product structure is mainly in the mid-to-low price range, targeting young consumers who are sensitive to price and pursue functionality. At the same time, Xiaomi gradually shifted from a single online sales model to a dual-channel layout of "online + offline", opened "Xiaomi Home" and authorized retail stores, strengthened the after-sales service network, and formed a strong brand stickiness in the local area [1][9].

However, since 2020, due to the border conflict and tensions in China-India relations, there has been a phase of "boycotting Chinese goods" in India; The government has stepped up scrutiny of Chinese companies, and Xiaomi has been subject to tax audits and foreign exchange compliance investigations, with some of its assets frozen and its market share falling. Overall, Xiaomi has formed a relatively complete market and supply chain layout in India, but its international operations have also exposed a deep reliance on the political, legal and cultural environment [3][4][5].

2. MACRO ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS IN INDIA (PEST MODEL)

2.1 Political and Policy environment (P)

The Indian government has proposed national strategies such as "Make in India" and "Digital India", encouraging the development of local manufacturing in areas such as mobile phones and electronic information, introducing production-linked incentive (PLI) programs, tax incentives and land policies, and providing institutional guarantees for foreign-funded enterprises to set up factories. At the same time, India has gradually raised import tariffs on complete machines and some components, forcing mobile phone companies to increase local procurement and assembly and promoting the "localization" of supply chains [6][8].

But under geopolitical and national security considerations, India has set up stricter approval procedures for investments from neighboring countries and strengthened supervision over Chinese companies in the areas of foreign exchange, taxation and data security. The policy environment presents a dual feature of "encouraging local manufacturing" and "prudently treating Chinese capital", which makes Chinese enterprises enjoy policy dividends while also facing the risk of rising policy uncertainty.

2.2 Economic environment (E)

India, with a population of over one billion and a rapidly expanding middle class, is one of the world's fastest-growing smartphone markets. The popularity of mobile Internet, the decline in data charges and the development of e-commerce provide a vast space for mobile phones and peripheral hardware products. Low labor costs and an abundance of young workers give India a certain comparative advantage in manufacturing.

But at the same time, India's infrastructure is inadequate, there are significant differences in the business environment among states, and logistics costs and institutional transaction costs are high; Currency fluctuations, inflationary pressures and rising international commodity prices also increase the uncertainty of production costs. For Xiaomi, which relies on economies of scale and selling at low margins but high volumes, these factors will directly affect its profit level and supply chain stability [6][7].

2.3 Social and cultural environment (S)

India has a diverse social structure with significant differences in language, religion and region, and consumption habits are characterized by the coexistence of regional and class characteristics. Young people make up the majority of the population, have a high acceptance of smartphones, attach importance to price and functionality, and are suitable for Xiaomi's product positioning that emphasizes cost performance. At the same time, consumers are increasingly sensitive to after-sales service and brand reputation, and businesses need to maintain long-term relationships by improving their network and word-of-mouth operations.

When relations between China and India are tense, nationalism in India is on the rise, and Chinese brands are easily drawn into the maelstrom of public opinion. If companies handle communication and public relations improperly, they may face boycotts, which can have a negative impact on sales and brand image. This places higher demands on public diplomacy and cultural communication for companies like Xiaomi [3].

2.4 Technology and industrial environment (T)

From the demand side, the construction of 4G/5G networks and the advancement of "Digital India" have driven up the penetration rate of smartphones in India, driving demand for terminal products with better performance and better experience; From the supply side, India's electronics manufacturing industry started relatively late, is highly dependent on imports for key components, and the local supply chain system is still in the process of formation. In recent years, the Indian government has been promoting the development of semiconductor and key component industries, but it is difficult to fully meet the demands of original equipment manufacturers in the short term [6].

For Xiaomi, the technological environment not only implies a huge market development space but also means that it still needs to rely on the global supply chain in high-end chips, display panels and other links. If international trade frictions or shortages of key components occur, it will have an impact on its business in India. Therefore, finding a balance between leveraging global resources and nurturing local support is an important issue for Xiaomi [6][7].

3. INTERNAL ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS OF XIAOMI'S OPERATIONS IN INDIA (SWOT ANALYSIS)

3.1 Strengths (S)

First, Xiaomi has mature Internet thinking and product definition capabilities, and is able to provide higher-configured models at a relatively low cost, accurately meeting the demand for high cost performance in the Indian market. Second, through partnerships with Foxconn and others, Xiaomi has established a multi-factory layout in India, with abundant production capacity and a fast supply chain response speed, and has certain economies of scale and cost advantages. Third, by leveraging its "Mi Fan culture" and online community operations, Xiaomi has strengthened its interaction with users, creating a strong user stickiness and word-of-mouth effect. Fourth, Xiaomi has an early layout in IoT and smart home, and is in a position to achieve multi-category linkage in the Indian market [1].

3.2 Disadvantages (W)

First, there is a lag in understanding the local laws, regulations and policy environment, and the early compliance system is relatively weak, resulting in a passive response to foreign exchange and tax investigations. 2. Limited localization of key components, strong reliance on overseas suppliers, and limited resilience in the face of fluctuations in the global supply chain. Third, the degree of localization of organization and management needs to be improved. There is a high mobility of local executives, which affects deep connections with government, channels and social resources [4][5][6][7].

3.3 Opportunities (O)

There is still considerable room for growth in the Indian smartphone market. The upgrade from feature phones to smart phones, the demand for 4G/5G replacement and the underdeveloped rural market provide Xiaomi with continuous expansion opportunities. "Make in India" and export-oriented policies create conditions for the company to establish a global production base in India, and localizing the supply chain offers policy incentives and cost advantages. In addition, the rising interest of Indian consumers in smart home and IoT devices opens up new space for Xiaomi ecosystem products to enter local households [6][7][8].

3.4 Threats (T)

One is the intensification of homogeneous competition from Samsung, Apple and other Chinese brands, with price wars and marketing wars coexisting, squeezing profit margins. Second, policy and regulatory risks cannot be ignored, geopolitical tensions may trigger a new round of restrictions. Third, nationalist sentiment and public opinion risks could lead to sudden sales fluctuations and channel pressures. Fourth, local enterprises and multinational giants may take advantage of policy support and financial superiority to gradually increase their say in supply chain and channel links [6].

4. ANALYSIS OF THE SMARTPHONE INDUSTRY ENVIRONMENT IN INDIA (PORTER'S FIVE FORCES MODEL)

4.1 The level of competition among existing competitors

The Indian smartphone market is highly concentrated, with brands such as Samsung, Xiaomi, vivo, OPPO, and Realme occupying the top five positions for a long time. Brands are constantly launching new products in the mid-to-low end market, competing for users through price, configuration and promotional activities, which is extremely fierce. At the same time, manufacturers' continuous investment in channel coverage and after-sales service networks has intensified the competitive pressure [6][7][9].

4.2 Threat from potential entrants

"Make in India" has lowered the production threshold in India, and some local enterprises and emerging brands are interested in entering the smartphone or peripheral terminal market. However, brand building, supply chain management and financial strength form substantial barriers that restrict new entrants. In the short term, the threat from potential entrants is limited, but in the long term, local Indian brands and cross-industry tech companies could still be important competitive forces [6][7][8].

4.3 Supplier bargaining power

As core chips, memory and display screens are mainly controlled by a few international suppliers, the bargaining power of original equipment manufacturers in these areas is relatively weak. The limited number of local component suppliers in India and the incomplete supporting facilities have left companies like Xiaomi highly dependent on global suppliers for component procurement. Both rising raw material prices and supply disruptions put pressure on corporate profits [6][7].

4.4 Bargaining power of buyers

With the development of e-commerce platforms and the improvement of information transparency, consumers can easily compare prices and configurations of different brands, and the bargaining power of buyers has significantly increased. Middlemen and large chain retailers also have the upper hand in negotiations with brands, passing on costs by lowering prices and demanding rebates. Xiaomi has weakened the middle channel to some extent through online direct sales and brand stores, but still has to deal with consumers' rising expectations and price sensitivity [6].

4.5 Threat of Substitutes

In terms of communication and entertainment functions, tablets, second-hand phones, wearable devices, etc. may replace smart phones in some scenarios. But as a whole, smartphones remain the core terminal for most Indian consumers to access the Internet and obtain information, and the threat of substitutes is relatively manageable. The real challenge comes more from upgrading and replacing products of the same type than from cross-category substitution.

5. COUNTERMEASURES AND SUGGESTIONS FOR CHINESE COMPANIES' SUPPLY CHAIN LOCALIZATION AND RISK MANAGEMENT IN INDIA

Based on the above analysis, Chinese enterprises represented by Xiaomi in promoting localization and risk management in India can focus on the following aspects.

5.1 Strategy: Deep Localization of Tier-2 Suppliers

Achieve a gradual and steady improvement in supply chain localization level. on the basis of complete machine assembly, we should gradually guide key component suppliers to set up factories in India, increase the proportion of local procurement, reduce tariffs and logistics costs, and shorten delivery cycles. In terms of capacity layout, a multi-point production pattern can be constructed in combination with the policies and infrastructure conditions of different states in India to enhance supply chain resilience [6][7][8].

5.2 Building a Systematic Compliance Governance Framework

Consider compliance as a "hard constraint" for overseas operations and establish a dedicated legal and compliance team in India to ensure strict compliance with local regulations in tax declaration, foreign exchange settlement, labor employment and other links. Establish an internal audit and risk warning mechanism to detect and correct potential violations in a timely manner, and maintain transparency and professionalism in communication with regulatory authorities [2][4][5].

5.3 Promoting Localization of Management and Talent

Increase the training and empowerment of local management teams, and involve people familiar with the local market in strategic planning and day-to-day operational decision-making. Encourage communication and collaboration between Chinese and Indian teams, reduce cultural barriers, and create an organizational culture that is both efficient and inclusive to provide stable human support for enterprises to deal with emergencies.

5.4 Deepening Brand Localization and Social Responsibility Practices

Fully consider the cultural preferences and emotional needs of Indian consumers in product design, marketing communication and user service, moderately downplay the "outsider" label, and strengthen the narrative of "Made in India" and "common development". At the same time, give back to the local community through public welfare projects in areas such as education, healthcare and the environment, establish a responsible corporate image and accumulate social capital to fend off public opinion risks [3].

5.5 Implementing a "Multi-Market + Multi-Supply" Strategy to Enhance Overall Resilience

While taking root in the Indian market, moderately expand into other emerging markets and backup production bases to avoid over-reliance on a single country or region. By diversifying political, economic and supply risks across different regions through the "China +India +X" portfolio layout, enterprises can remain overall stable in the face of single-point shocks [2][6][7].

6. CONCLUSION

Overall, Xiaomi's practice in India shows that Chinese companies can effectively integrate into the "Make in India" policy framework by localizing their supply chains, gain cost and policy advantages, and rapidly expand their markets. But when the external environment changes dramatically, localization itself is not sufficient to fully offset the impact of policy, legal and cultural risks. Only by localizing the supply chain and complementing the "soft power" of compliance governance and local operation, and building a multi-level risk management system, can sustainable international development be truly achieved. This experience holds significant reference value for Chinese companies entering India and other emerging markets in the future.

REFERENCES

[1] Tabassum R, Ahmed S. Xiaomi invades the smartphone market in India[J]. Decision, 2020, 47(2): 215-228.

[2] Su S. International Business Strategies of Firms with Political Changes: A Case of Xiaomi’s Entry into the Indian Market[J]. BCP Business & Management, 2023, 40: 206-213.

[3] Christopher N. How Indian is an Indian phone? Facing a nationalist backlash in India, Xiaomi has rebranded itself as a local manufacturer [EB/OL]. Rest of World, 2020-12-04.

[4] Singh M. India seizes $725 million assets from Xiaomi unit over illegal remittances [EB/OL]. TechCrunch, 2022-04-30.

[5] Kalra A. India seizes $725 million of Xiaomi assets over illegal remittances [EB/OL]. Reuters, 2022-04-30.

[6] Broadband India Forum; Koan Advisory. India’s Mobile Manufacturing Ecosystem: Challenges and Opportunities[R/OL]. 2024-09-18.

[7] Veeramani C. Gains from Mobile Phone Manufacturing in India through Backwards-Linked Participation in Global Value Chains[R/OL]. Centre for Development Studies (CDS), 2025.

[8] Government of India, Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade. Make in India (MII)[EB/OL]. Launched 2014-09-25, updated 2024.

[9] Digital Crew. Chinese Smartphone companies are winning in the India – The fastest growing market [EB/OL]. 2018.