Labour Relations: Union Decline, Collective Bargaining, and Employee Voice in the Modern Workplace

Shi Mengyao
Changchun University of Science and Technology, China

ABSTRACT

Labour relations encompass the institutions, rules, and processes through which employers, employees, unions, and governments negotiate employment conditions, resolve disputes, and shape employee voice in the workplace. This paper examines the evolution of industrial relations systems, the decline of trade unions, comparative models of collective bargaining, and emerging challenges created by new forms of work. Drawing on Dunlop's Industrial Relations System, it explains how employers, workers, and governments interact within particular economic, technological, and institutional contexts to produce the rules governing employment relationships. The paper also applies the Varieties of Capitalism framework to distinguish liberal market economies, characterized by decentralized bargaining and flexible labor markets, from coordinated market economies that rely more heavily on sectoral bargaining, works councils, and long-term employment relationships. Particular attention is given to the causes and consequences of union decline, including structural economic change, globalization, employer strategies, labor law developments, and weakening occupational communities, as well as the continuing gap between employees' desire for collective voice and their actual access to representation. The rise of the gig economy further challenges traditional labor relations by blurring the distinction between employees and independent contractors and limiting access to conventional collective bargaining and employment protections. Overall, contemporary labour relations are undergoing a major institutional transition as traditional mechanisms of worker representation become less suited to increasingly flexible, platform-based, and algorithmically managed forms of employment. Future research should explore new institutional arrangements, including sectoral bargaining, portable benefits, and algorithmic transparency, that may provide effective employee voice and protection in the evolving labor market.

Keywords: Labour Relations; Trade Unions; Collective Bargaining; Employee Voice; Industrial Relations; NLRA; Gig Economy; Works Councils; Dunlop

1. INTRODUCTION

Labour relations—the formal and informal systems through which employers and employees negotiate the terms and conditions of employment, resolve disputes, and govern the employment relationship—encompass trade union organization and collective bargaining, employee voice and participation systems, labor law and regulation, and the broader industrial relations institutions that mediate between capital and labor. The dramatic decline of trade union membership in most advanced economies over the past four decades—U.S. union density declining from approximately 35% of the workforce in the 1950s to approximately 10.1% in 2022 (BLS)—has transformed industrial relations from an institutional centerpiece of employment relations to a residual phenomenon concentrated in public sector and declining manufacturing industries, creating new questions about employee voice and power in the contemporary workplace.

2. INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS SYSTEMS

2.1 Dunlop's Industrial Relations System

Dunlop's (1958) Industrial Relations System—the foundational theoretical framework in the field—proposed that industrial relations systems comprise three actors (employers and their associations, workers and their organizations, and government) who interact in specific technical, market, and power contexts to produce a web of rules (substantive rules governing pay and conditions; procedural rules governing how disputes are resolved) that govern the employment relationship. Dunlop's framework established industrial relations as a systems perspective rather than an adversarial bilateral conflict perspective—enabling analysis of how institutional context (labor law, union structure, collective bargaining coverage, employment regulation) shapes industrial relations outcomes across different national systems.

2.2 Varieties of Capitalism

Hall and Soskice's (2001) 'Varieties of Capitalism' provided the most influential comparative framework for understanding how different national institutional environments produce different industrial relations systems. Liberal Market Economies (US, UK, Australia) coordinate primarily through markets, with limited collective bargaining coverage, decentralized employment relations, and flexible labor markets. Coordinated Market Economies (Germany, Sweden, Japan) coordinate through institutional arrangements including industry-level collective bargaining, works councils providing employee voice in workplace decisions, and long-term employment relationships. These institutional differences produce different economic outcomes: CMEs achieve lower wage inequality and higher training investment but less labor market flexibility than LMEs—with both systems producing competitive advantages in different product market contexts.

Table 1. Industrial relations systems, characteristics, and example countries.

Industrial Relations System	Characteristics	Example Countries
Pluralist/adversarial	Arms-length; collective bargaining; union-management conflict	USA; UK (historically); Australia
Social partnership	Cooperative; sectoral bargaining; worker co-determination	Germany; Netherlands; Scandinavia
Enterprise unionism	Company-based unions; cooperative enterprise relations	Japan
Non-union (paternalist)	Management provides above-market conditions to prevent unionization	IBM (historically); many US firms

3. UNION DECLINE AND EMPLOYEE VOICE

The dramatic decline of trade union membership—most pronounced in the Anglo-American economies but occurring across most OECD countries—reflects multiple causes: structural shift away from manufacturing (the most heavily unionized sector) toward services; employer anti-union strategies; labor law changes unfavorable to organizing; globalization creating competitive pressure to reduce labor costs; and the decline of occupational community that sustained union culture. Freeman and Rogers's (1999) 'What Workers Want' documented a significant desire gap: large minorities of non-union workers in the US report wanting union representation or at least collective employee voice mechanisms—but the gap between desire for voice and actual union membership reflects the barriers to organizing in the contemporary US labor relations system.

4. GIG ECONOMY AND NEW FORMS OF WORK

The gig economy—workers engaged through platform companies (Uber, Lyft, DoorDash, Amazon Flex) as independent contractors rather than employees—has created a new frontier of labor relations questions: whether platform workers are employees or independent contractors (determining access to employment law protections); how traditional collective bargaining applies to non-employee workers; and whether new forms of worker organization (worker centers, portable benefits systems, algorithmic transparency requirements) can provide voice and protection for workers who fall outside the scope of traditional labor law. California's Proposition 22 (2020)—in which platform companies spent $200 million to exempt their drivers from AB5's employee classification requirements—exemplifies the political economy of gig worker labor relations.

5. CONCLUSION

Labour relations systems are at an inflection point as union density continues declining in most advanced economies, new forms of work proliferate outside traditional employment relationships, and algorithms rather than managers increasingly determine wages, scheduling, and working conditions for millions of workers. The institutional mechanisms developed over the 20th century to balance capital and labor power—collective bargaining, employment law, workplace representation—were designed for stable, long-term, full-time employment relationships that are becoming less typical of the contemporary labor market. Future research should examine what new institutional frameworks—sectoral bargaining that covers workers across employers, portable benefits systems that travel with workers between jobs, algorithmic

transparency requirements that give workers insight into the algorithmic systems that govern their work—could provide effective employee voice and protection in the emerging labor market structure.

REFERENCES

[1] Dunlop, J. T. (1958). Industrial relations systems. Henry Holt.
[2] Freeman, R. B., & Rogers, J. (1999). What workers want. Cornell University Press.
[3] Hall, P. A., & Soskice, D. (Eds.). (2001). Varieties of capitalism. Oxford University Press.
[4] Katz, H. C., Kochan, T. A., & Colvin, A. J. S. (2017). An introduction to collective bargaining and industrial relations (4th ed.). Cornell ILR Press.