Compensation and Benefits: Pay Strategy, Internal Equity, and Total Rewards Design

Ma Zichen
Chongqing University of Technology, China

ABSTRACT

Compensation and benefits represent one of the most significant and strategically important areas of human resource management, influencing an organization's ability to attract, retain, and motivate employees while controlling labor costs. This paper reviews the major principles and contemporary practices underlying compensation strategy, with particular attention to external competitiveness, internal equity, total rewards, pay transparency, and variable pay design. It first examines how organizations position compensation relative to the external labor market through lead, match, or lag strategies and how these choices affect recruitment quality, employee retention, productivity, and labor costs. The paper then discusses internal equity and job evaluation, emphasizing the role of systematic job assessment and pay structures in ensuring that compensation reflects differences in skill, effort, responsibility, and working conditions. A total rewards perspective is also presented, integrating base salary, short- and long-term incentives, benefits, and non-financial recognition into a broader employee value proposition. In addition, the paper examines the growing importance of pay equity and transparency, particularly as legal requirements and public expectations increase pressure on organizations to identify and address unjustified pay disparities. Variable pay is analyzed through the lens of expectancy theory, highlighting the importance of clear performance linkages, achievable targets, fairness, and timely rewards in motivating employee behavior. Overall, effective compensation systems require organizations to balance market competitiveness, internal fairness, performance motivation, employee expectations, and cost efficiency. The paper concludes that increasing pay transparency is likely to reshape compensation management and calls for further research on its effects on applicant behavior, employee retention, pay equity, and organizational compensation costs.

Keywords: Compensation; Pay Strategy; Job Evaluation; Pay Equity; Total Rewards; Variable Pay; Pay Transparency; Expectancy Theory; Milkovich

1. INTRODUCTION

Compensation and benefits—the monetary and non-monetary rewards that organizations provide to employees in exchange for their labor—constitute both human resource management's largest budget item (typically 50-70% of organizational operating costs) and one of its most potent tools for attracting, retaining, and motivating talent. Milkovich, Newman, and Gerhart's (2014) foundational textbook defines compensation as consisting of pay (base salary, variable pay) and benefits (health insurance, retirement, paid time off, perquisites) plus non-financial rewards (recognition, interesting work, development opportunities)—collectively constituting the 'total rewards' proposition that organizations offer in exchange for employee commitment and performance. Effective compensation strategy requires balancing multiple objectives: attracting qualified candidates (competitive external positioning), retaining valued employees (internal equity and market competitiveness), motivating performance (pay-for-performance linkages), and managing labor cost (budget constraints and efficiency).

2. PAY STRATEGY FUNDAMENTALS

2.1 External Competitiveness

External competitiveness—positioning the organization's pay relative to the labor market—requires defining the relevant labor market (which employers compete for the same talent), conducting or purchasing market surveys, and deciding where to position relative to the market (lead, match, or lag the market's competitive rate). Organizations that lead the market on pay attract larger and stronger applicant pools, experience lower voluntary turnover, and may achieve higher productivity from employees who value their employment more highly—but pay a significant cost premium for these advantages. Lag

strategies reduce labor cost but increase turnover risk and narrow the applicant pool—acceptable for high-volume, low-complexity roles but risky for scarce professional talent where turnover cost is high.

2.2 Internal Equity and Job Evaluation

Internal equity—employees' perception that their pay is fair relative to what other employees doing work of different types or complexity earn within the same organization—is essential for employee satisfaction and retention. Job evaluation—systematically assessing the relative worth of different jobs within an organization using compensable factors (skill, effort, responsibility, working conditions)—provides the foundation for internally equitable pay structures. Point-factor job evaluation systems (the most widely used method) assign points to jobs based on their level on compensable factors, then establish pay grades (salary bands) that group jobs of similar point values into the same compensation range. The resulting pay structure must balance internal equity (similar jobs should receive similar pay) with external competitiveness (pay must match what the market pays for each job).

Table 1. Total rewards components, functions, and best practices.

Compensation Element	Function	Best Practice
Base salary	Foundation; provides income security; attracts and retains	Market-competitive bands; grade structure; annual merit increases
Short-term incentives (bonus)	Motivates goal achievement; rewards annual performance	Clear performance metrics; achievable targets; timely payment
Long-term incentives (equity)	Aligns with shareholder value; retention through vesting	Broad-based equity grants; market-competitive; cliff vs. graded vesting
Benefits	Provides security; addresses employee needs; tax-efficient	Flexible benefits; wellness programs; peer market benchmarking
Recognition programs	Non-monetary reinforcement of desired behaviors	Frequent; specific; manager-driven; peer recognition

3. PAY EQUITY AND TRANSPARENCY

Pay equity—equal pay for equal work across demographic groups (gender, race, ethnicity)—has been both a legal requirement (Equal Pay Act 1963; Title VII; OFCCP regulations) and an increasingly scrutinized public issue as pay transparency laws spread. The gender pay gap—women earning approximately 83 cents for every dollar men earn in the U.S. (BLS 2022) in unadjusted terms, and approximately 95-98 cents in adjusted terms controlling for occupation, experience, and hours—reflects both occupational segregation (women concentrated in lower-paying occupations) and within-occupation and within-firm pay disparities. Pay transparency laws—requiring employers to disclose salary ranges in job postings (New York, California, Colorado) or to provide pay information to employees requesting it—are creating significant pressure on employers to identify and remediate unjustified pay disparities.

4. VARIABLE PAY DESIGN

Variable pay—compensation whose amount is contingent on individual, team, or organizational performance rather than guaranteed by employment—is theoretically motivated by expectancy theory (Vroom, 1964): employees are motivated when they believe their effort leads to performance (expectancy), performance leads to outcomes (instrumentality), and outcomes are valued (valence). Effective incentive design requires: line-of-sight (employees can see the connection between their actions and the performance metric that determines their variable pay); achievability (targets that are challenging but achievable maintain motivational effect); fairness (employees accept the formula and believe it is applied consistently); and timeliness (variable pay delivered close to the performance it is intended to reinforce). Incentive misalignment—where incentive formulas reward metrics that don't align with organizational goals—can produce costly behavioral distortions.

5. CONCLUSION

Compensation strategy is one of HRM's most complex and consequential domains, requiring simultaneous management of market competitiveness, internal equity, performance motivation, pay equity, and cost control. The growing pay transparency movement—driven by legislation, social media visibility (salary sharing platforms like levels.fyi, Glassdoor), and employee expectations—is fundamentally changing compensation management from a closely guarded confidential function to an increasingly public business practice that must withstand scrutiny. Future research should examine how mandatory pay transparency laws (salary range disclosure in job postings) affect applicant behavior, employee retention, pay equity gaps, and overall compensation cost structures—and whether the discipline imposed by transparency accelerates or retards pay equity progress for women and minority employees.

REFERENCES

[1] Milkovich, G. T., Newman, J. M., & Gerhart, B. (2014). Compensation (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

[2] Vroom, V. H. (1964). Work and motivation. Wiley.

[3] Gerhart, B., & Fang, M. (2014). Pay for (individual) performance: Issues, claims, evidence and the role of sorting effects. Human Resource Management Review, 24(1), 41–52.

[4] Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). The gender wage gap: Extent, trends, and explanations. Journal of Economic Literature, 55(3), 789–865.